Directions given by the Court – Cases in which interim order/decision has been taken.

| Sr.No. | Organisation | Case No. & Title | Date of Direction | Direction given | To be complied by |
|----------|----------------|---|----------------------|---|---|
| AR, Suga | r Mills Branch | С | IVIL WRIT PETI | TIONS/CM | |
| 1. | Sugarfed | CWP No 3974 of 2007 - Karambir Singh & others Vs. State of Haryana & others(FCC, MD, Sugarfed, Liq. Sirsa S/M & Hafed) . Claim: Reg. adjustment of retrenched seasonal employees of Sirsa Sugar Mill. | 4.9.2009 | Came up for hearing on 04.9.2009. Following interim orders were passed:- "Heard. Admitted. To be listed for regular hearing within a period of six months. In the meanwhile, in view of the letter dated 12.5.09, it will be open for respondent No.4 (Hafed) to fill up 193 posts, mentioned in the said letter, from the surplus employees of the sugar mills, including the petitioners, in consultation with respondent no.2 (Haryana Sugarfed), but those posts shall not be filled up from the open market. Respondent No.2 will further ensure that initially, an attempt will be made to absorb the surplus employees of Sirsa Sugar Mills in the existing sugar mills before making fresh appointment from the open market". | Directions have been given to all Coop. Sugar Mills for strict compliance of the Hon'ble High Court order dated 4.9.2009. Compliance has been made by all the mills. Writ petitions filed by the Residents/Gram Panchayat of Vill. Phaprana(related to Hafed) have been clubbed with CWP No.3974 of 2007, which came up for hearing on 8.2.17 and directions have been issued to ACS, Coop./competent authority for taking action against Assandh Sugar Mill. |
| 2. | Sugarfed | CWP No.17837 of 2006 – Bhim Sain & others (ex- employees of erstwhile Bhuna Sugar Mills) | 28.1.2015 | "to adjust all the ex-employees of the erstwhile Bhuna Cooperative Sugar Mills including the petitioners, on the posts of their respective categories, against available vacant posts in other cooperative sugar mills, as well as other cooperative institutions of the respondent State, as early as possible, subject to fulfilment of eligibility criteria, prescribed under the relevant Service Rules, however, granting relaxation in age, wherever it is required. It is further directed that except in the emergent situations, the respondent authorities shall not | In compliance of Hon'ble High Court order, 162 exemployees have been adjusted in various Coop. Sugar Mills/Cooperative Institutions. Remaining employees will be adjusted as and when posts are fallen vacant subject to fulfilling the eligibility criteria and qualification. A committee consisting of Joint Registrar, Cooperative Societies and one member from each Cooperative Institution has been |

| | | | | fill any vacant post in the cooperative sugar mills as well as other cooperative institutions in the State, till the ex-employees of erstwhile Bhuna Cooperative Sugar Mills are adjusted". | constituted to review the periodical performance of adjustment of ex-employees of erstwhile Bhuna Sugar Mill. |
|----|-------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| 3. | Jind | CWP No.11745 of 2016 – Rajesh Kumar, Mfg. Chemist(Jind Sugar Mill) Vs. State of Haryana & ors. Claim To grant handicapped conveyance allowance | 10.3.2017 | Hon'ble High Court vide interim order dated 2.6.2016 has given direction to respondent No.2(MD, Sugarfed) to examine the case of the petitioner, in view of instructions and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, before the next date of hearing informing the Court that whether petitioner is entitled for conveyance allowance". | In compliance of directions of the Hon'ble High Court, MD, Haryana Sugarfed has passed speaking orders whereby the claim of the petitions has been declined CM on placing on record the speaking order has been filed by counsel of the mill. |
| 4. | Karnal & Panipat | i)CWP No. 1458 of 2016 – Arvind Kumar & ors. Vs. State of Haryana & ors. ii)CWP No. 23587 of 2016 – Mazdoor Sangh (Regd.)Panipat Vs. State of Haryana & ors. Claim: To quash the order for adjustment of ex- employees of Bhuna Sugar Mill and give promotion to petitioners. | 20.4.2017 | The case came up for hearing on 27.5.16 wherein the following orders have been passed:-"Learned counsel for respondent no.1(State Govt.) seeks time to file reply. In the meantime, respondent nos. 2 & 3 shall not appoint anybody from outside". | Copy of interim order dated 27.5.16 has been sent to Karnal Sugar Mill for strict compliance. This office has also engaged the AAG for defending the case on behalf of Sugarfed. |
| 5. | ACS, Cooperation Deptt. | CWP No.10682 of 2016- Jitender Singh & ors Vs. State of Haryana & ors. Claim: To initiate departmental enquiry against Smt.Gyatari Ahlawat, MD, Panipat Sugar Mill for committing financial irregularities/ embezzlement. | 26.5.2016 (On CM Application for extension of time, Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 19.1.17 has given two months time for filing | The case was disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2 Addl. Chief Secretary, Cooperation Department, Haryana, Chandigarh to take a decision on the legal notice dated 23.04.2016(Annexure P-10) in accordance with law, preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and copy of the order so passed shall be communicated | The ACS, Cooperation vide letter dt.13.6.16 desired to send detailed para-wise comments to legal notice and present status of the case. Accorrdingly DC, Panipat was requested to enquire into the matter. DC, Panipat got the matter enquired from ADC, Panipat. He sent the above enquiry report without giving |

| | 1 | | etatus report | forthwith to the notitioners" | him comments and the same |
|----|------------------|---|----------------|---|---|
| | | | status report. | forthwith to the petitioners". | was conclusive. |
| | | | | | Above report was sent to the |
| | | | | | ACS, Coop. with the |
| | | | | | recommendation to refer the |
| | | | | | matter to the CS, Hry. for |
| | | | | | getting the enquiry conducted |
| | | | | | from a Senior IAS Officer. The |
| | | | | | CS has ordered to get the |
| | | | | | enquiry conducted from MD, |
| | | | | | Haryana Sugarfed. Enquiry is |
| | | | | | being conducted by him and |
| | | | | | report will be submitted to the |
| | | | | | Govt. as early as possible. |
| 6. | ACS, Cooperation | ii)CWP No 23957 of 2013 | 08.2.2017 | Cooperation department or | ACS, Cooperation and the |
| | | – Dilawar Singh Vs. State | | competent authority has been | RCS, Haryana have been |
| | | of Haryana & others. | | directed to take necessary action | informed accordingly vide this office letter No.7416-17 dated |
| | | iii)CWP No 21191 of 2016 – Gram Panchayat, | | against Assandh Sugar Mill and report before the next date of | |
| | | Phaphrana Vs. State of | | hearing fixed for 29.03.2017 . | 14.2.17. |
| | | Haryana & others. | | Treating fixed for 29.03.2017. | |
| | | Claim | | | |
| | | To provide employment to | | | |
| | | the residents of Vill. | | | |
| | | Phaphana in Assandh | | | |
| | | Sugar Mill as per terms & | | | |
| | | conditions of Govt. order/ | | | |
| | | notification reg.allotment | | | |
| | | of land for setting up of | | | |
| | | Assandh Sugar Mill. | | | |